

## Safeguarding Overview & Scrutiny Committee Work Programme

Chairman: Pauline Batstone Vice Chairman: Katharine Garcia





<ul> <li><u>Items relating to Children:-</u></li> <li>Child Protection</li> <li>Child Sexual Exploitation and missing children</li> <li>SEN Improvement Plan</li> <li>Elective Home Education and attendance (January 2018)</li> <li><u>Items relating to Adults:-</u></li> <li>Neglect</li> <li>Deprivation of liberty</li> <li>Making safeguarding personal</li> <li>Rogue Trading</li> <li><u>Work in Progress</u></li> <li>Domestic Abuse – Agenda item 05/10/16, update 19/01/17 and 15/03/17</li> <li>EHCP's – agenda item 05/10/16, update 19/01/17, update 12/10/17</li> <li>Chedule a Post Scrutiny Review covering an 'Assessment of utcomes following changes to Youth Service provision'</li> <li>This is to proactively understand and consider the resultant impacts 12 months after the councils' decision to change the way in which youth service provision is delivered – (<i>post decision scrutiny</i>). (May 2018)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For all items listed to the left members are asked to:</li> <li>Complete the prioritisation methodology</li> <li>Identify lead Member(s) and lead Officer(s)</li> <li>Provide a brief rationale for the scrutiny review</li> <li>Indicate draft timescales</li> <li>Assign the item to a meeting in the work programme</li> </ul>
--	--



Scrutiny Review Prioritisation Methodology:					
Q1 - Is the topic/issue likey to have a significant impact on the delivery of council services?	NO				
VES					
Q2 - Is the issue included in the Corporate Plan (e.g. of strategic importance to the council or its stakeholders / partners), or have the potential to be if not addressed?	NO				
YES					
Q3 - Is a focussed scrutiny review likely to add value to the council to the performance of its services?	NO				
YES					
Q4 - Is a proactive scrutiny process likely to lead to efficiencies / savings?	PO	SSIBLY		NO	
YES					
Q5 - Has other review work been undertaken which may lead to a risk of duplication?	YES	3			
NO NO					
Q6 - Do sufficient scrutiny resources already exist, or are available, to ensure that the necessary work can be properly carried out in a timely manner?	NO				
YES			ł		•
INCLUDE IN THE SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME		CON	SIDER		DONOT
(HIGH PRIORITY)			PRIORITY)		INCLUDE



All items that have been agreed for coverage by the Committee have been scheduled in the Forward Plan accordingly.

Date of Meeting	Item/Purpose	Key Lines of Enquiry (KLOE)	Lead Member/Officer	Reference to Corporate Plan	Target End Date
10 Ιουνου	Outcomes from the loguin. Dou on		Cally		
18 January 2018 (10.00am)	Outcomes from the Inquiry Day on Domestic Abuse Elective Home Education and Attendance Scoping Report Outcomes Focussed Monitoring Report		Sally Wernick/Claire Shiels Jay Mercer John Alexander		
13 March 2018 (10.00am)	Outcomes Focussed Monitoring Report		John Alexander		
5.1.1.0010					
5 July 2018 (10.00am)	Outcomes Focussed Monitoring Report		John Alexander		
11 October 2018 (10.00am)	Outcomes Focussed Monitoring Report		John Alexander		

## Sara Tough

Corporate Director for Children's, Adults and Community Services (Lead Officer for the Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee)





Date: 12 October 2017

